

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,672	10/22/2001	Paul G. Allen	50588/49	2374
32641	7590 10/23/2006		EXAMINER	
DIGEO, INC C/O STOEL RIVES LLP			NGUYEN, MINH CHAU	
201 SOUTH I	MAIN STREET, SUITE CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CITY, UT 84111		2145	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2000	6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	10/045,672	ALLEN ET AL.		
	Jason D. Cardone	Art Unit 2145		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Rec	quest for Review filed 8/8/06.	
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is reason(s):</li> </ol>	s improper and a conference will n	not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been ☐ The request does not include reason ☐ A proposed amendment is included ☐ Other:	ons why a review is appropriate.	·
The time period for filing a response contin the mail date of the last Office communicat	ues to run from the receipt date o tion, if no Notice of Appeal has be	of the Notice of Appeal or from en received.
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appea held. The application remains under appear is required to submit an appeal brief in according will be reset to be one month from man running from the receipt of the notice of appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1. of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	al because there is at least one ac ordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The ailing this decision, or the balance peal, whichever is greater. Furthe	etual issue for appeal. Applicant time period for filing an appeal of the two-month time period er, the time period for filing of the
□ The panel has determined the state Claim(s) allowed: None.     □ Claim(s) objected to: None.     □ Claim(s) rejected: 1-17, 19-37 and 39 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.	1 <u>-46</u> .	
<ol> <li>Allowable application – A conference Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time.</li> </ol>	ce has been held. The rejection is ne merits remains closed. No furt	withdrawn and a Notice of her action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is re	e has been held. The rejection is vequired by applicant at this time.	withdrawn and a new Office
All participants:		JASON CARDONE
(1) Jason D. Cardone	(3\Runal Dharia	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(3) Rupal Dharia.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

(1) Jason D. Cardone.

(2) Minh-Chau Nguyen.